

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Atty Armas, J. Todd (for Gregory Taylor – Executor – Petitioner)

[Fifth] Amended Waiver of Accounting and Petition for Final Distribution and for Allowance of Compensation

DOD: 3-31-12		GREGORY TAYLOR, Executor with Full IAEA	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		without bond, is Petitioner.	
		Accounting is waived.	Minute Order 2-18-15: Any
Cont. from 021815		[Second] Amended I&A filed 1-15-15:	additional proof to support the position that there is no trust or
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	\$278,817.29 (cash)	trust property may be filed. Mr.
~	Verified	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	Armas requests 60 days.
~	Inventory	POH: \$278,817.29 (cash)	
~	PTC	Figure 1 the self-state than 1 the state of	As of 4-9-15, nothing further has
~	Not.Cred.	Executor (Statutory): Waived	been filed.
~	Notice of	Attorney (Statutory): \$8,576.35	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES
	Hrg	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
~	Aff.Mail	Petitioner states he is the sole heir of the	
	Aff.Pub.	Mason family upon the death of the	
	Sp.Ntc.	Administrator Joseph Mason and has waived accounting and statutory fees.	
	Pers.Serv.	walved accounting and statetery roos.	
	Conf.	See also Declaration of Attorney Armas filed	
	Screen	10-15-14 and Declaration of Gregory Taylor	
_	Letters 11-9-12	filed 1-15-15.	
	Duties/Supp	Petitioner states the decedent and her	
	Objections	spouse (now deceased) signed a trust that	
	Video	Mr. Armas had prepared for them. The trust	
	Receipt	provides for a distribution identical to the	
_	CI Report	distribution set forth in the decedent's will.	
-	9202 Order	Although the trust was prepared and signed, no assets were transferred to the	
	Aff. Posting	trust. Therefore, there are no trust assets. The	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	distribution in this matter should therefore	Reviewed by: 3KC
	UCCJEA	be pursuant to Article Fifth of the	Updates:
	Citation	decedent's will.	Recommendation:
~	FTB Notice	Petitioner requests distribution as follows:	File 4 – Mason
		Christopher Taylor: \$10,000.00	
		Jeffrey Taylor: \$5,000.00	
		Nicole Taylor: \$5,000.00	
		Gregory Taylor, Sr.: \$250,240.94	

4 Juanita Mason (Estate)

Case No. 12CEPR00881

Page 2 - NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. The Court may require further clarification regarding what, exactly, the cash sum indicated on the Second Amended Inventory and Appraisal represents.

Background: The first I&A filed 11-2-12 included \$204,522.95 in six separate investment accounts, plus real property valued at \$300,000.00. The I&A did not state whether the assets were the separate property or community property assets of the decedent; however, it was later noted that the same real property was also inventoried as an asset of the Estate of Joseph Mason in 14CEPR00064, along with one of the accounts listed.

An amended I&A filed 10-15-14 indicated cash of \$277,794.06, which also happened to be the amount of property on hand, with a footnote as follows: "The above sum represents separate property of Juanita Mason. There is an additional \$277,794.06 that is assigned to the Estate of Joseph Mason as his community property interest and not subject to distribution by this estate." (It is unclear to the Examiner what that statement means, but it appears to indicate that there was community property between the couple, but unclear what assets were in that category.)

This second amended I&A filed 1-15-15 indicates cash of \$278,817.29, with a footnote as follows: "The above sum represents separate property of Juanita Mason."

As noted on previous Examiner Notes, this simple cash sum does not appear to be an accurate representation of this decedent's assets as of the date of death. Petitioner explains in his declaration that all accounts were consolidated into one account, and some things like property taxes were paid from the account. However, even with that information, the I&A should still reflect the date of death assets and total, which was originally listed as six separate accounts totaling \$204,522.95, and the property on hand (POH) would be the consolidated lump sum at present.

<u>Note</u>: The attorney's statutory compensation should be based on the I&A date of death value, and if gains are used in calculation, detailed schedules of such gains must be included pursuant to Cal. Rule of Court 7.705(b). Here, the attorney is requesting compensation based on the new value, which is the POH, but no schedules are provided, and it is unclear if this sum originated as the six accounts noted on the original I&A.

<u>Additional note</u>: In addition to the house, which has since been removed from this estate, it was also noted that one of the original accounts listed (Golden 1) was also listed as an asset of the decedent's husband's estate. Need clarification: Does the amended value include that amount?

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

4 Juanita Mason (Estate)

Case No. 12CEPR00881

Page 3 - NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Continued):

2. As previously noted, the decedent's will distributes her estate to the Mason Family Trust of 2004. Petitioner states that the trust was never funded; therefore, distribution should be made directly to heirs pursuant to Article Fifth of the decedent's will. Examiner Notes previously noted that the trust did appear to have been funded by the execution of Schedule A Transfer of Assets; therefore, distribution should be made to the Trust pursuant to Article Third of the Will, and a declaration pursuant to Local Rule 7.12.5 is needed.

Attorney Armas continues to request distribution directly to the heirs; however, no authority is provided.

Examiner notes also that Article Fifth of the will does not appear to distribute directly to the heirs as requested; rather, it appears to create a testamentary trust based on the 2004 trust.

3. Proposed order does not appear to include distribution of the residue. Need revised order based on clarification of the above noted issues and the Court's order thereon.

Atty

Clyde Bass Morris (Estate)

Case No. 13CEPR01034

Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Administrator – Successor Administrator – Petitioner)

1) First and Final Account and Report of Successor Administrator; and 2) Petition for Allowance of Ordinary and Extraordinary Commissions and Fees; and 3) for **Distribution**

	D. 10 0 10	DUDUC ADMINISTRATOR Conserve A 1000010101010101010101010101010101010	NEEDS /DDODLESSS /
ВО	D: 10-8-13	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR, Successor Administrator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
			COMMENTS:
		Account period: 8-20-14 through 11-7-14	Note: Minute Order
		Accounting: \$250,917.40	3-11-15 provides, in
Co	nt. from 031115	Beginning POH: \$237,605.63	pertinent part, for
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Ending POH: \$194,487.26 (cash)	certain preliminary
_	Verified	=	distributions, and that
		Surcharge (Former Administrator): \$39,090.91	Ms. Kruthers will file an
×	Inventory	Petitioner states the former administrator	amendment to the
~	PTC	misappropriated \$39,090.91 and should be	petition regarding the
>	Not.Cred.	surcharged that amount. This amount is the	distribution and submit
~	Notice of	difference between the cash listed on the I&A and what the Public Administrator was able to marshal.	an order re preliminary distribution.
	Hrg	what the roblic Administrator was able to maishal.	distribution.
~	Aff.Mail \	Public Administrator (Statutory): \$8,018.35	Order Allowing
	Aff.Pub.		Preliminary
	Sp.Ntc.	Attorneys (Statutory): \$8,018.35	Distributions was filed
	Pers.Serv.	Petitioner states the Public Administrator's attorney	3-16-15.
	Conf.	and Gary Bagdasarian, attorney for the former	
	Screen	Administrator, have agreed to share statutory	Update: Mr.
	Letters	compensation as follows:	Bagdasarian, attorney
	Duties/Supp	Gary Bagdasarian: \$6,018.35 County Counsel: \$2,000.00	for Former Administrator Nancy
-			Hamilton, filed a
	Objections	Public Administrator (Extraordinary): \$1,248.00	Second Declaration
	Video	(\$1,000.00 for the sale of the real property, per local	on 4-2-15 requesting
	Receipt	rule, plus \$248.00 for preparation of tax returns)	credit against her
	CI Report		surcharge.
	9202		
~	Order	(for 7.8 attorney hours @ \$150/hr)	As of 4-9-15, nothing
		Come Board as arising (Federal and in the No. C4 270 FO	further has been filed
		Gary Bagdasarian (Extraordinary): \$4,372.50 (per declaration, discounted from \$8,745.00, for 31.80	by the Public Administrator.
		hours @ \$275/hr in connection with the sale of the	Administrator.
		real property)	The following issues
			remain noted for
		Costs: \$1002.00 (Attorney Bagdasarian, for filing,	reference:
		publication, certified copies, appraisal)	
			SEE ADDITIONAL
	A (Bond fee: \$156.84	<u>PAGES</u>
	Aff. Posting	Costs: \$537.00 (\$435.00 filing, plus \$102.00 processing)	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	Cosis. 3337.00 (\$433.00 IIIIIIg, pius \$102.00 processing)	Reviewed on: 4-9-15
	UCCJEA	Closing: \$10,000.00 (for any possible outstanding	Updates:
	Citation	taxes)	Recommendation:
~	FTB Notice		File 7 - Morris
		Distribution pursuant to intestate succession and	
		assignments: (See #1 and #2)	
		David Morris: \$33,019.72	
		Diane Wray: \$33,019.71	
		Nancy Hamilton: \$27,928.79	
<u> </u>		Inheritance Funding Company (Assignee): \$68,000.00	
			7

Page 2

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- 1. Petitioner calculates distribution from \$161,968.22, which is the POH \$194,487.26 less \$32,519.04 for the requested fees and costs. However, Examiner calculates the total of the requested fees and costs to be \$34,523.04 (a \$2,004.00 difference), which leaves \$159,964.22 for distribution. Need clarification.
- 2. Petitioner's calculation for payback of the two assignment loans for the two beneficiaries is incorrect. The two beneficiaries' assignments are for <u>different loan amounts</u>, and contain <u>different repayment</u> terms, as follows:

Per Assignment filed 6-11-14, David Morris borrowed \$15,000 in exchange for a promise to assign \$25,200 from his share. However, the agreement contains a provision that if the loan is paid back within 12 months, the **payoff would be reduced to \$22,400.00**.

Per Assignment filed 11-5-14, Diane Rogers borrowed \$5,000.00 in exchange for a promise to assign \$8,800.00 from her share. However, the agreement contains a provision that if the loan is paid back within 6 months, the **payoff would be reduced to \$7,800.00**.

<u>Therefore, need amended petition reflecting corrected distribution, pursuant to #1 and #2 above.</u>

- 3. The petition does not address whether notice was required pursuant to Probate Code §9202(b) (Victim Comp).
- 4. Petitioner states the whereabouts of Former Administrator Nancy Hamilton are unknown; therefore, Notice of Hearing was not given to her. The Court may require a declaration of due diligence.
- 5. Pursuant to Probate Code §10953, the Court may compel the attorney for the absconded personal representative to file an account. If required, the Court may set a status hearing for the filing of the account.

Barbara R. Morgan (Estate)

Winter, Gary L. (for Aimee Holland – Executor)

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		OFF CALENDAR
		OII CALLINDAR
		Final I&A filed 4-1-15.
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt	_	
CI Report	_	
9202		
Order		Daviewed by ske
Aff. Posting	_	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt UCCJEA	_	Reviewed on: 4-9-15 Updates:
Citation	_	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	 	File 16 - Morgan
LIB MOIICE		The 10 - Morgan

Atty

Young, Charlotte A. (Pro Per – Daughter – Conservator)

Second Amended Account and Report of Conservator of Estate, Petition for Approval

DOD: Not provided	CHARLOTTE A. YOUNG, Conservator	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	without bond, is Petitioner.	
Cont from 010615, 030315 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of X Hrg Aff.Mail X Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 2620(c)	Account period: 5-12-13 through 6-30-14 Accounting: \$ 23,376.81 Beginning POH: \$ 2.14 Ending POH: \$ 748.32 Conservator: \$750.00 (\$50/month) Petitioner requests an order: 1. For settlement and allowance of this account and report and approval and confirmation of the acts of petitioner as conservator; 2. For \$750 as compensation to the conservator for her services to the Conservatee during the period of this account; 3. For such other orders as the Court deems proper.	 Need Notice of Hearing. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §§ 1460, 1461.5 on: Office of Veterans Administration There are numerous bank and ATM charges. The Court may require clarification. Need order.
Order X		Reviewed by: skc
Aff. Posting Status Rpt		Reviewed by: SKC Reviewed on: 4-9-15
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 22 - Hopkins
I ID MOUCE		riie zz - nopkiiis

Guillermina Conde (CONS/PE)

Jackson, Martha (Pro Per – Daughter – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Conservatorship of the Person and Estate

	See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Court Investigator advised rights on 3/30/15
Cont. from 040715 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred.		Continued from 4/7/15. Petitioner has now filed consents of family members and proof of service on the proposed Conservatee, as well as a declaration with attachments including bills and a statement regarding selling her mother's home.
V Notice of Hrg V Aff.Mail W Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. V Pers.Serv. W		The Court may also require notice to the Fresno County Public Guardian and County Counsel in connection with separate proceedings involving this proposed Conservatee.
Conf. Screen Letters × Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report		2. The Court may require bond of \$38,288.80, which includes the Proposed Conservatee's income and the check for \$27,200.00, plus an amount for recovery pursuant to Probate Code §2320(c)(4) and Cal. Rule of Court 7.207, or may require funds blocked pending further consideration.
9202 		Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 4/9/15
UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice		Updates: Recommendation: File 29 – Conde
		29

29